



Closing Session

*ICPhS 2007 Germany*

Jürgen Trouvain

Local Organisation ICPhS

- Note:

These slides were (partially) presented at  
the Closing Ceremony of *ICPhS 2007*  
*Germany*


# Regular Sessions

- 708 papers submitted
- 467 papers accepted (acceptance rate: 66%)
- 1/3 talks – 2/3 posters
- most popular thematic areas:
  - Speech Prosody (125)
  - Speech Production (91)
  - Speech Perception (62)
  - Foreign Language Acquisition (54)

# Number of papers per country (according to first author)

- Australia 12
  - Austria 1
  - Belgium 7
  - Brazil 3
  - Canada 14
  - China 6
  - Croatia 3
  - Czech Republic 4
  - Denmark 3
  - Estonia 4
  - Finland 10
  - France 49
  - Germany 74
  - Greece 3
  - Hong Kong 8
  - Hungary 1
  - Iran 2
  - Ireland 3
  - Israel 4
  - Italy 10
  - Japan 24
  - Jordan 1
  - Korea 2
  - Malta 1
  - Morocco 2
  - Netherlands 30
  - New Caledonia 1
  - New Zealand 1
  - Norway 5
  - Poland 4
  - Portugal 2
  - Russia 3
  - Singapore 1
  - Spain 15
  - Sweden 11
  - Switzerland 4
  - Taiwan 8
  - United Kingdom 74
  - United States 125
- Total 535

# Submission Timing



# Reviewers

- 351 reviewers
  - (cp. 132 reviewers for abstracts at previous ICPHS)
- two novelties for regular submissions
  - full-paper submission
  - anonymous authors
- aims
  - higher quality
  - more chances of unbiased reviews

# Questionnaire among the reviewers

- return rate: 65%
- Two questions:
  1. The form of submission to ICPPhS should be
    - abstracts (12%) or
    - full papers (88%).
  2. Papers to be reviewed should feature:
    - anonymous authors and anonymous reviewers (as now) (66%),
    - non-anonymous authors and anonymous reviewers (as before) (24%),
    - non-anonymous authors and non-anonymous reviewers (as in a public presentation) (7%),
    - anonymous authors and non-anonymous reviewers (this would be an innovation) (3%).
- But a clear bias in the results is to be expected (a positive evaluation of a task one has just invested time in is highly probable)

# Models of Paper Submission

- International Congress of Phonetic Scienceses
  - different cultures from different scientific communities
- Possible models for the future:
  1. Abstracts unreviewed, up-to-date results, no proceedings
  2. Abstracts reviewed, coarse pre-selection, proceedings (or not)
  3. Full papers, strict pre-selection, proceedings
  4. Hybrid models



# Number of participants

• Australia	9	• Greece	8	• Philippines	1
• Austria	5	• Greenland	1	• Poland	9
• Belgium	8	• Guinea	2	• Portugal	4
• Brazil	5	• Hungary	3	• Russia	5
• Bulgaria	1	• India	1	• Saudi Arabia	1
• Canada	22	• Iran	2	• Singapore	1
• China	2	• Ireland	8	• South Korea	6
• Hong Kong	12	• Israel	6	• Spain	19
• Croatia	5	• Italy	14	• Sweden	16
• Czech Rep.	7	• Japan	38	• Switzerland	7
• Denmark	7	• Latvia	1	• Taiwan	8
• Estonia	5	• Luxembourg	1	• Thailand	1
• Finland	18	• Morocco	2	• The Netherlands	37
• France	59	• New Zealand	1	• United Kingdom	95
• Germany	148	• Norway	8	• USA	131
				<b>Total</b>	<b>750</b>
				<b>+ Student helpers</b>	<b>76</b>